4

Single Bench

2 CF/1001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 4836 / 2006

PETITIONER

:**Tarkesh Siya Thakur** Singh H.S. Ahluwalia 31/8/06

S/o Late Gujju Singh

Aged about 29 years,

R/o- village- Khadi, P.O- Salhewara

Tehsil- Chhuiekhadan,

Dist- Rajnandgoan (C.G)

P.R. No. 4271/06 A.S. Ahluwalia

Presented on 29/8/06

dated

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. **State of Chhattisgarh**
   Through the Secretary,
   Public Works Department,
   D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G)

2. Engineer in Chief
   PWD, Department
   D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G)

3. Superintendent Engineer
   PWD, Durg Mandal
   Dist- Durg

4. Sub-Divisional Officer
   PWD (B&R), Sub-Division Office,
   Khairagarh (C.G)

RECEIVED COPY
29/8/06
CLERK TO A. G. BILASPUR

3

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUITABLE WRIT ORDER OF DIRECTION TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

37

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 4836 of 2006

Petitioner Tarkesh Singh Thakur

Versus

Respondents State of Chhattisgarh & others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Shri H. S. Ahluwalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Ajay Dwivedi, Panel lawyer for the respondents.

O R D E R

(5th September, 2006)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the son of Shri Gujju Singh, who was working as Permanent Gangman in the office of the respondent No. 4/Sub Divisional Officer, P.W.D. (B&R), Sub-Division Office, Khairagarh, District- Rajnandgaon, died in harness on 15.6.2003.

2. The petitioner, being one of the dependant-legal heirs of the deceased filed an application thereafter for compassionate appointment on 7.3.2005 before the respondent No. 4 and the petitioner again made a representation dated 5.8.2006 but the said application has not been considered by the respondents.

3. The State Government is directed to consider the case of the petitioner as per its own circular dated 2.2.2006 and also in view of the order dated 20.12.2005 passed by this Court in W.P. No. 1322/2002 and other connected matters on its own merit, in accordance with law, on such representation being filed by the petitioner.

4. For the reasons stated-above, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur